IMAC 30 – Jacksonville, Florida - 2012



Excitation Techniques Do's and Don'ts



Peter Avitabile UMASS Lowell

Marco Peres The Modal Shop











Dr. Peter Avitabile Structural Dynamics & Acoustic Systems Lab Objectives of this lecture:

- Overview impact testing considerations part 1
- Overview shaker testing considerations part 2
- Identify some typical concerns
- Provide some examples

IMAC 27 presentation covered shaker excitation techniques IMAC 29 presentation covered shaker testing considerations





MODAL SPACE - In Our Own Little World



http://sdasl.uml.edu/umlspace/mspace.html



Series of articles on various aspects of modal analysis currently in its 15th continuous year of publication



Dr. Peter Avitabile Structural Dynamics & Acoustic Systems Lab

Measurement Definitions - Refresher



Actual time signals Analog anti-alias filter Digitized time signals Windowed time signals Compute FFT of signal

Average auto/cross spectra Compute FRF and Coherence



Excitation - Do's and Don'ts

Measurements - Linear Spectra - Refresher



- x(t) time domain input to the system
- y(t) time domain output to the system
- $S_X(f)$ linear Fourier spectrum of x(t)
- Sy(f) linear Fourier spectrum of y(t)
- H(f) system transfer function
- h(t) system impulse response





Measurements - Power Spectra - Refresher



- autocorrelation of the input signal x(t) $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t})$

- $R_{yy}(t)$ autocorrelation of the output signal y(t)
- $R_{yx}(t)$ cross correlation of y(t) and x(t)
- $G_{xx}(f)$ autopower spectrum of x(t)
- autopower spectrum of y(t)Gyy(f)
- cross power spectrum of y(t) and x(t) $G_{yx}(f)$

- $G_{xx}(f) = S_x(f) \bullet S_x^*(f)$
- $G_{vv}(f) = S_v(f) \bullet S_v^*(f)$

 $G_{yx}(f) = S_y(f) \bullet S_x^*(f)$



Measurements - Derived Relationships - Refresher

 $S_v = HS_x$

H1 formulation

- susceptible to noise on the input
- underestimates the actual H of the system

 $S_v \bullet S_x^* = HS_x \bullet S_x^*$

 $H = \frac{S_y \bullet S_x^*}{S_y \bullet S_y^*} = \frac{G_{yx}}{G_{yy}}$

H2 formulation

- susceptible to noise on the output
- overestimates the actual H of the system

$$S_y \bullet S_y^* = HS_x \bullet S_y^*$$



COHERENCE



7



Excitation - Do's and Don'ts



Other formulations for H exist

Typical Measurements - Refresher



Excitation - Do's and Don'ts

Structural Dynamics & Acoustic Systems Lab



IMAC 30 - Jacksonville, FL - 2012







Excitation - Do's and Don'ts

IMAC 30 - Jacksonville, FL - 2012







Objectives of this lecture:

- Overview impact excitation techniques
- Review hammer/tip characteristics
- Review special DSP considerations
- Identify areas of concern and things to consider

11











Impact Excitation

An impulsive excitation which is very short in the time window usually lasting less than 5% of the sample interval.

ADVANTAGES

- -easy setup
- fast measurement time
- -minimum of equipment
- -low cost

CONSIDERATIONS

- -poor rms to peak levels
- poor for nonlinear structures
- force/response windows needed
- -pretrigger delay needed
- double impacts may occur
- high potential for signal overload and underload of ADC





| TIME REC | | BLK # | 0 | | |
|---|-------|----------|---|---|---|
| | Λ | | | | |
| ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | my un | m | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ |
| | | BIKA | | MS | 256 |
| THE HEC | Υ. | | • | | |
| | /_~ | w | | | |
| | | 1 | | | |
| TIME REC | | BLK . | 2 | MS | 256 |
| | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | |
| TIME REC | - | BLK # | a | MS | 255 |
| | A | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | |
| | | | | MS | 256 |



Practical Modal Impact Test Checklist

- General
 - Range settings for channels
 - Frequency range bandwidth BW
- Hammer
 - Pre-trigger settings
 - Hammer tip selection
 - Windows
- Response
 - Windows
- FRF / Coherence
 - Measurement considerations



The force spectrum can be customized to some extent through the use of hammer tips with various hardnesses.

A hard tip has a very short pulse and will excite a wide frequency range. A soft tip has a long pulse and will excite a narrow frequency range.

However, the hammer tip alone does not totally determine the frequency range excited. The local flexibility of the structure must also be considered.





Impact Excitation - Hammer Tip Selection



15



Excitation - Do's and Don'ts



If the leading portion of the time pulse is not captured then there will be a distortion of the measured input spectrum



16





Double impacts can occur due to a sloppy hammer swing or many times due to the responsive nature of many structures. They should be avoided wherever possible.



17

Impact Excitation - Windows May Be Necessary

If response does not die out then a window may be required







If the signal does not naturally decay within the sample interval, then an exponentially decaying window may be necessary.

However, many times changing the signal processing parameters such as bandwidth and number of spectral lines may produce a signal which requires less window weighting







Impact Excitation - Force & Exponential Window



20

IMAC-XXVI -- Modal Excitation - Dave Brown University of Cincinnati





Measurement adequacy depends on what is required





Impact at One Point - Listen at Another - What FRF?





Excitation - Do's and Don'ts

Dr. Peter Avitabile Structural Dynamics & Acoustic Systems Lab

Impact at One Point - Listen at Another - What FRF?



23

CB GROUP CO



Drive point measurement Same input and output location - in the same direction







Reciprocity is an underlying necessity for modal theory







Excitation - Do's and Don'ts

Reciprocity is an underlying necessity for modal theory

26







Excitation - Do's and Don'ts





27





Impact Test - Multiple Reference Impact Test

Ref#2

Ref#3

Either a row or column of the FRF matrix is needed to estimate mode shapes



where where where where where where where where where where

Million Million Million Million Million Million Million Million















Dr. Peter Avitabile Structural Dynamics & Acoustic Systems Lab



29





Measurement Definitions



FRF from Impact or Shaker Data

Impact Data



Shaker Data





Excitation - Do's and Don'ts

IMAC 30 - Jacksonville, FL - 2012







Excitation - Do's and Don'ts

FFT Reference vs. Modal Reference - Confusing Nomenclature





Laplace Domain Equation of Motion



Assuming that initial conditions are zero

$$(ms^2 + cs + k) x(s) = f(s)$$







Why Do Initial Conditions Need to be Zero?











Why Do Initial Conditions Need to be Zero?



CB GROUP CO


Energy is imparted to the structure beyond the frequency range of interest and may overload or saturate the response







Selecting the right impact tip to excite the right frequency range is critical to optimizing the measured response



Exponential Window - Can It Be a Problem?

While a window may be ultimately required, never start with the window applied before the raw measurement is reviewed.

How many peaks are observed in the measured FRF.







Exponential Window - Can It Be a Problem?

Here is a measurement where a significant amount of damping is applied to the measurement.

How many peaks are observed in the measured FRF.







Exponential Window - Can It Be a Problem?

Picking a longer time block allows the response to naturally decay and lessens the need of the exponential window.

How many peaks are observed in the measured FRF.





41

Dr. Peter Avitabile Structural Dynamics & Acoustic Systems Lab



Window should only be applied once it is deemed necessary







Picking a poor measurement location avoids the double impact but does the measurement look better?







Double Impact - Common Difficulty



Excitation - Do's and Don'ts

CB GROUP CO

Dr. Peter Avitabile Structural Dynamics & Acoustic Systems Lab



If you can't avoid double impact - what about multiple impacts





October 2008 - Modal Space Articles



So if you can't avoid double impact - what about multiple





October 2008 - Modal Space Articles



So if you can't avoid double impact - what about multiple



47



October 2008 - Modal Space Articles



Multiple Impacts - A Possibility !!!



This measurement looks fine - but do all look this good?







Here's a measurement that doesn't look as good as the rest.





All measurements should be reviewed



Sometimes there can be some ringing on the impact input. This is referred to as "filter ring"

Depending on the bandwidth and impact spectrum, this may or may not appear on the measured data

The following slide shows the effects of this phenomena







Excitation - Do's and Don'ts

B GROUP CO

Dr. Peter Avitabile Structural Dynamics & Acoustic Systems Lab

Sometimes data may be collected for multiple purposes. One group wants data to 500Hz and another needs 2KHz.

Can a test be constructed with one set of accelerometers to acquire the data for both test ranges?

Difficult to achieve unless you have infinite resolution and infinite spectral resolution.





2KHz excitation for 500 Hz BW





When performing impact testing it is important to impact the same point in the same direction for all averages.

One case will be presented to show the effects of having a skewed input, that is different for each average of the measurement.

Another case is presented to show the effects of impacting close to the same point, but not exactly the same point, for all averages.









Notice that the coherence for the skewed input is not as good as the measurement with consistent input excitation







Notice that the coherence for the impact around point is not as good as the measurement with consistent input excitation













Control of input point and direction is very important











Sometimes the response transducer may be too sensitive which generally may cause an overload.

But there are times when the accelerometer and the signal conditioner may not overload the data acquisition system BUT may be distorted due to saturation of the signal conditioner.





Accelerometer Saturation - But No Overload



Accelerometer too sensitive

Accelerometer with proper sensitivity





Analyzer ICP / External ICP / DC Accelerometer Comparison

DC 200mv/g

DC 1V/g

ICP 1V/g





63



Excitation - Do's and Don'ts

Dr. Peter Avitabile Structural Dynamics & Acoustic Systems Lab



Analyzer ICP / External ICP / DC Accelerometer Comparison







The hammer kits normally have the ability to use a variety of different tips to customize the input spectrum.

But what happens if some impacts are harder and some are softer? Does this affect the input excitation spectrum?

Depending on the hammer tip, this can be significant.





Air Capsule

Plastic Cap on Hard White Tip

Hard White Tip



So what are the chances that you would pick 9 of the worst possible measurement locations for a plate ???



August 1998 - Modal Space Articles





Selection of Measurement Locations





August 1998 - Modal Space Articles

Excitation - Do's and Don'ts





68

Do these two test yield the same modal information?





August 1998 - Modal Space Articles



The Modal Question





 $1x \hspace{0.1cm} 1y \hspace{0.1cm} 1z \hspace{0.1cm} 2x \hspace{0.1cm} 2y \hspace{0.1cm} 2z \hspace{0.1cm} 3x \hspace{0.1cm} 3y \hspace{0.1cm} 3z \hspace{0.1cm} \bullet \bullet \bullet \hspace{0.1cm} 9x \hspace{0.1cm} 9y \hspace{0.1cm} 9z$





IMAC 30 - Jacksonville, FL - 2012


















Reference Selection - Where Should Reference Be Located?







Excitation - Do's and Don'ts

Alle Alle Alle Alle

1.44.44.44

A plate structure with suspected pseudo-repeated roots was tested to determine the appropriate reference locations



76





Excitation - Do's and Don'ts

A summation plot and typical drive point FRFS are shown



77





Excitation - Do's and Don'ts

Using all 3 references, TRIP identifies a repeated root



78



Note: plot only to 500 Hz





Using references 3 Z & 15 Z, TRIP identifies repeated root









Using references 13 Z & 15 Z, TRIP identifies repeated root









Using references 3 Z & 13 Z, does not !!!!!!









IMAC 30 - Jacksonville, FL - 2012







Excitation - Do's and Don'ts

Objectives of this lecture:

- Overview shaker testing considerations
- Identify some typical set up concerns
- Provide some examples



IMAC 27 presentation covered excitation techniques IMAC 29 presentation covered shaker testing considerations





Excitation Configuration



84



Excitation - Do's and Don'ts

SH

0

CB GROUP CO

Reason for Stinger

<u>Purpose of Stinger</u>

- Decouple shaker from test structure
- Force transducer between stinger and structure decouple forces acting in the axial direction <u>only</u>
- Forces acting in any other direction will be unaccounted for creating error in the measurements

85







• Location of stinger on structure may be affected by the local stiffness and/or structure deformation



Axial stiffness



Axial and bending stiffness







Stinger Configuration with Through Hole Shaker







87

Objectives of this part of lecture:

- Identify some basics of MIMO testing
- Discuss several practical aspects of multiple input multiple output shaker testing







Multiple Input Shaker Excitation

- Provide a more even distribution of energy
- Simultaneously excite all modes of interest
- Multiple columns of FRF matrix acquired
- More consistent data is collected
- Same test time as SISO case



89





Excitation Considerations - MIMO



Energy is distributed better throughout the structure making better measurements possible

Multiple referenced FRFs are obtained from MIMO test







Dr. Peter Avitabile Structural Dynamics & Acoustic Systems Lab



Excitation Considerations - MIMO



91



Large or complicated structures require special attention



Excitation - Do's and Don'ts

Dr. Peter Avitabile Structural Dynamics & Acoustic Systems Lab Multiple shakers are needed in order to adequately shake the structure with sufficient energy to be able to make good measurements for FRF estimation







Objectives of this part of lecture:

- Provide some measurements to illustrate issues
- Revisit reciprocity
- Compare impedance head vs force/accelerometer
- Compare MIMO measurements

Excitation - Do's and Don'ts





Excitation technique is one necessary step to acquire better measurements (random/hann vs burst random).

But using MIMO instead of SISO is another important consideration.

And mass loading effects are also important







Shaker Mass Loading Effects





CB GROUP CO



Shaker Mass Loading Effects

Three Measurement Setups - Compare Repeated Root:



96

Dr. Peter Avitabile Structural Dynamics & Acoustic Systems Lab An impedance head is a transducer that measures both force and response in one device.

This is a critical measurement for the structure and it is strongly advised that impedance heads be used in all cases.

A combination of a separate force gage and accelerometer is often used but time and time again this measurement has been seen to never be better than that obtained with an impedance head.

The force gage or impedance head needs to be mounted on the structure side of the stinger arrangement.







Test Set Up

Measurements taken to show difference in set up

Incorrect













What is the proper mounting technique for the force transducer?



Distinct difference in drive point FRF based on force configuration !

99



Excitation - Do's and Don'ts



Drive Point FRF - Stinger Effects





Drive Point FRF - Stinger Effects



Differences in Reciprocal Measurements - Impedance vs Accel

Top View



Bottom View



- Offset Accelerometer
- Accelerometer on Other Face of Structure
- Impedance Head



• All reciprocity measurements are between points 1 & 2 with respect to force from impedance heads



Reciprocal Measurements





What if I can only put the accelerometer next to the force gage?





103



Excitation - Do's and Don'ts

Reciprocal Measurements

Accelerometer on Other Face of Structure



What if I can only put the accelerometer on the face of the structure that is opposite the force gage?





104



Reciprocal Measurements

Impedance Head



What if I have an impedance head that measures force and acceleration at the same place?

Force &

Accel



105

Dr. Peter Avitabile Structural Dynamics & Acoustic Systems Lab



What is the correct amplitude level for modal testing applications?

The excitation levels for modal testing are usually very low.

There is no need to provide large force levels for conducting a modal test especially if appropriate response transducers (accelerometers) are selected with good sensitivity.

The level only need be sufficient to make good measurements.









What is the correct amplitude level for modal testing applications?

The excitation levels for modal testing are usually very low.

There is no need to provide large force levels for conducting a modal test especially if appropriate response transducers (accelerometers) are selected with good sensitivity.

The level only need be sufficient to make good measurements.

In fact large force levels tend to overdrive the structure and can excite nonlinear characteristics of the structure and provide overall poorer measurements than with lower level force tests.





Excitation - Do's and Don'ts

107

What is the correct amplitude level for modal testing applications?



High excitation level degrades drive point FRF quality!!!




What is the correct amplitude level for modal testing applications?



High excitation level degrades drive point FRF quality and measurements across components may be worse!!!





The number of shakers is often a difficult one to answer.

Basically there are never enough shakers when conducting a large modal test.

Often we are limited by the total number of shakers available in the test lab for modal testing.

Usually two shakers are sufficient for many tests. Sometimes three or four shakers are needed for much larger structures.

But generally more than five shakers are rarely used.

The main point is that there needs to be enough shakers acting as reference locations that are positioned so that all of the modes of the structure are adequately excited and good frequency response measurements are obtained.





How many shakers should I use in my modal test?



Single input may not be able to provide accurate FRFs



111



Excitation - Do's and Don'ts



Single shaker testing is adequate providing all the modes of the structure can be sufficiently excited and measured.

In component testing this can often times be sufficient.

However, when structures have several components, then the ability to provide sufficient excitation to acquire good measurements across the whole structure may be difficult.

Tests can be conducted with a single shaker that is moved to different reference locations but generally this does not provide consistently related measurements.

When this is the case (as it often is), then MIMO is needed.





Why bother with MIMO testing? Why not run a SISO instead?



Blue Shaker is Reference for SIMO & MIMO



Excitation - Do's and Don'ts

Dr. Peter Avitabile Structural Dynamics & Acoustic Systems Lab

























Reference Point 1

Reference Point 2





Reference Point 3

118



100th order

polynomial















100th order polynomial



Excitation - Do's and Don'ts

A PCB GROUP CO

Dr. Peter Avitabile Structural Dynamics & Acoustic Systems Lab





Several items are very important

- Test Setup boundary conditions
- Excitation Methods accurate measurements
- Parameter Extraction accurate parameters
- Dynamic Model Development

(some personal notes from experience)





Test Setup

- Pre-Test helps in so many ways but be careful to not fully rely on the model to be correlated
- Do everything possible to make the best possible measurements (or the original sin results)
- Make sure that all measurements are consistent
- Be aware of all boundary conditions such as support structure, shaker stinger interaction, instrumentation effects on structure





Test Setup

- Check for overloads and underloads of transducer
- Check for saturation of signal conditioning
- Check linearity of structure
- Check mass loading effects of transducers
- · Check frequency shifts due to support condition
- Check frequency resolution for measurements





Measurements

- Check every measurement including input/output time traces, power spectrum, frequency response function and coherence
- Check reciprocity where possible
- Repeat drive point measurements on test that require multiple sets of data to completely describe all points on the structure





Impact Technique

- Check FRF with different tips, over different frequency ranges with different resolutions
- Maintain consistent force level for measurements
- Impact the same point in the same direction for each measurement
- Compare different number of averages to determine convergence to FRF





Shaker Excitation Technique

- Check force/quill alignment to prevent any overturning moments on force gage
- Check reciprocity on MIMO tests
- Try multiple excitation techniques to determine what technique works best
- Check drive point FRFs when multiple banks of data are collected





IMAC 30 – Jacksonville, Florida - 2012



Excitation Techniques Do's and Don'ts



Peter Avitabile UMASS Lowell

Marco Peres The Modal Shop







Impact Animation



Excitation - Do's and Don'ts

Dr. Peter Avitabile Structural Dynamics & Acoustic Systems Lab